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INTRODUCTION

HOW ARE POLICY PROFESSIONALS FARING IN AN ERA OF UNCERTAINTY?

That’s the question we set out to answer when we interviewed more than 1,400 policy professionals in March of this year. In a Washington characterized by political, technological and social upheaval, we wanted to better understand the experiences and challenges of experts responsible for influencing policy at the highest levels of government.

Our research included hundreds of government affairs, communications, public relations and legal professionals at corporations, associations, nonprofits and professional services firms. Across these categories, our findings were remarkably consistent. The interrelated forces of partisanship, legislative gridlock and political volatility have created an environment of persistent uncertainty that has transformed policy professionals’ roles, created new barriers to their work and upended traditional approaches to influence (Figure 1).

“I’m no longer dealing primarily with policy issues related to my company’s industry. My firm asks for my help with everything from gun rights to labor issues and investor relations. We weigh in on more business decisions than ever before.”

SVP GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS

The first surprising finding from our work was the dramatic rise in corporate interest in politics and policy. Constant change on issues from trade to data privacy and regulatory policy has left business executives hungry for clarity – and they are demanding it from their internal and external government affairs teams. Most policy professionals at corporations now interact with their c-suites and business unit leaders at least once per week (Figure 2).
Amid heightened corporate demand for policy information, policy professionals are keeping pace with a wider range of issues and more jurisdictions than ever before. Traditional barriers between sectors on policy issues have diminished, and issues that would have at one time been constrained to the national stage now play out at the international, federal, state and local levels. Keeping up requires that policy professionals sort through troves of updates contained in government websites, news platforms and their inboxes. Pulling the signal from the noise is elusive: 53% of policy professionals cite “managing information overload” as one of their top three challenges this year (Figure 3).

Despite these continued challenges, we found in the responses to our survey a spirit of innovation and a sense of excitement about the future. In the next few years, policy professionals expect to make greater use of data and tools to simplify their workstreams. They are investing in developing new skillsets in digital media, advocacy and branding to adapt to a changing media and policymaking landscape. Our qualitative interviews with government affairs and other policy leaders surfaced emerging best practices, from rethinking team structures to make it easier to track issues to engaging new corporate stakeholders to help quantify the outcomes of policy efforts.

This report contains the most interesting, counter-intuitive and inspiring findings from our research. We hope you will use it to learn more about other policy professionals, benchmark your organizations’ investments and time allocation and surface new best practices. We also hope that, upon reading it, you are prompted to share your own insights and best practices with us using the contact information we’ve shared below.
WHO WE SURVEYED

The data in this report represents the result of 1,409 total online interviews with policy professionals, including 848 who completed the survey and another 561 partial completes, for whom we’ve kept the data from the parts of the survey that were completed. All respondents were directly invited to participate in the survey by POLITICO.

FIG. 4
SURVEY RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

TYPES OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ORGANIZATIONS

- Lobbying firm: 30%
- Law firm: 21%
- Consulting firm: 27%
- Public relations firm: 18%
- Some other type of professional service: 4%

TYPES OF GOVERNMENT ORGANIZATIONS

- You work for state or local government office or agency: 32%
- You work for some other federal government office or agency: 17%
- You work in a Congressional office: 14%
- Government or government-related organization: 6%
- Higher education: 3%
- Think tank: 3%
- Finance: 3%
- Sole proprietorship/independent consultant: 1%
- Some other type of organization: 4%

INDUSTRY CATEGORIES

- Healthcare: 20%
- Government/Public Administration: 10%
- Education: 7%
- Law: 6%
- Finance: 6%
- Information Technology: 5%
- Transportation: 4%
- Manufacturing: 3%
- Agriculture: 3%
- Food and Beverage: 1%
- Chemicals: 1%
- Defense: 1%
- Retail: 1%
- Hospitality or Service: 1%
- Some Other Industry: 12%
### Survey Respondent Demographics

#### Organizational Function

- 55% Government Affairs / Government Relations
- 14% Communications / Public Relations
- 8% Corporate Affairs / External Affairs / Public Affairs
- 5% Legal
- 5% Regulatory Affairs
- 2% Corporate Strategy
- 1% Advertising / Marketing
- 1% Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) / Philanthropy
- 0% Compliance
- 8% Some other function

#### Level Within Organization

- 28% Director
- 20% Non-managerial
- 17% Manager
- 14% VP/Managing Director
- 11% Executive/Senior Vice President
- 5% Head of organization
- 5% Other
RISING CORPORATE INTEREST IN POLITICS AND POLICY
RISING CORPORATE INTEREST IN POLITICS AND POLICY

Policy expertise has always been critical to organizations’ success, but heightened business uncertainty on issues ranging from trade to data privacy has given the discipline a new spotlight in board rooms and the C-suite. Today, most corporate government affairs teams need to be prepared to work with CEOs, General Counsels, and key business and strategy executives on a weekly basis (Figure 5). These corporate leaders are concerned with ensuring their public policy-related business decisions reinforce their relationships to stakeholders, minimize risk and position their firms for long-term growth.

POLICY PROFESSIONALS IN THE CORPORATE SPOTLIGHT

For policy professionals, this increased executive attention on policy issues is a welcome opportunity to weave legislative, regulatory and political risk into corporate decision-making. Many of the government affairs professionals we engaged in our research said their involvement in a broader range of corporate conversations helped them drive better long-term business strategies.

One area in which policy professionals’ counsel has become especially valuable is social issue activism. With public and employee interest in social policy issues growing, policy experts are adding value by coaching executives on whether, when and how to respond to controversies. Through their unique understanding of the political environment, the trajectory of issues and the details of lawmaking and rulemaking processes, policy professionals help executives pick the right moments and mechanisms to act on the issues that matter to their stakeholders. For leading government affairs teams, it’s become commonplace to outline official triage protocols for these types of decision-making processes.
Another surprising new area of demand for policy expertise derives from corporate strategy and investor relations teams. Investors are more aware than ever of public policy’s impact on companies’ growth. In the past few years, volatility on trade, regulatory policy and healthcare coverage, among other issues, have significantly impacted many firms’ stock prices. Moreover, companies’ positions on policy issues have become a key point of interest for investors, many of whom leverage corporate social responsibility (CSR) rankings to evaluate whether a company is worthy of investment. The policy professionals we spoke with described coaching their strategy and investor teams to deftly answer difficult questions about how political and policy issues will impact their companies’ business plans.

Growing corporate interest in policy issues extends far beyond the C-suite and business teams. From human resources’ asks for help on labor and immigration issues to finance’s questions about progress on tax policy, today’s policy professionals must be smart on issues that extend beyond their industry and traditional areas of responsibility.

**FIG. 6**

**POLICY PROFESSIONALS COORDINATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of policy professionals who provide policy updates to the following functions at least once per week</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communications: 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Strategy: 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance: 12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“My time spend has inverted – I used to spend 70% of my time with external stakeholders. Today, it’s 30.”

SVP GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

NEW DEMANDS ON POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ TIME

While most policy professionals welcome heightened corporate interest in their work, it's also put new constraints on their time (Figure 7) and resources.

The work of translating politics and policy information for an audience beyond the beltway isn’t easy. Many policy executives we spoke with described an almost-daily effort to contextualize political updates for business stakeholders. This meant making last-minute phone calls and writing quick turnaround briefs to paint a picture of how and when policy issues would evolve — information that isn’t readily-apparent in the headlines. Failing to do so could mean these policy professionals’ companies or clients overreact, use incorrect or inadequate levers of power and create long-term headaches with government stakeholders.

FIG. 7

POLICY PROFESSIONALS AT CORPORATIONS SPEND MORE TIME MEETING WITH INTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS THAN ANY OTHER ACTIVITY

% of policy professionals at corporations who say each activity is among their top three areas of time spend

- Meeting with internal stakeholders: 51%
- Meeting with external stakeholders: 47%
- Performing research: 40%
- Building reports and presentations: 32%
- Monitoring the news: 25%
- Coordinating with external consultants: 25%
- Analyzing qualitative information: 20%

n = 139 corporate government affairs executives
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019
All this time spent translating policy internally constrains policy professionals’ availability to influence stakeholders beyond their organizations. With less time to meet decision-makers on the Hill, engage the media and talk to customers and the public, many policy professionals have come to view cross-functional engagement as a pain-point (Figure 8). The pain is particularly acute given that at least one-third of policy professional teams at corporations, associations and think tanks comprise five or fewer staff (Figure 9).

FIG. 8
COORDINATING WITH OTHER CORPORATE FUNCTIONS IS AMONG POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ BIGGEST CHALLENGES
% of policy professionals at corporations who say each challenge is among their top three this year

- Managing information overload: 50%
- Demonstrate ROI: 37%
- Coordinating with other functions: 37%
- Obtaining budget/resources: 27%
- Coordinating with peers: 20%
- Leveraging data: 21%
- Using digital tools: 14%
- Finding/attracting talent: 13%
- Evaluating/selecting vendors: 7%

n = 339 POLITICO Pro users in corporations and associations
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019

FIG. 9
MOST POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ TEAMS HAVE FEWER THAN 10 EMPLOYEES
Policy professionals team size by organization type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TERRITORY</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>TERRITORY</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporations</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associations</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>Think Tank/Non-Profit</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 1,095 POLITICO Pro clients
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019
To meet the demands of increased interest in their work, many policy professionals turn to external support (Figure 10).

These external experts are a welcome addition to many firms’ internal lobbying and policy analysis teams, but coordinating with them can itself be time-consuming. In our research, we heard from leading government affairs teams who were building new, scalable systems for rapidly responding to internal stakeholders’ most common concerns. This meant creating reusable or regularly-updated explainers, graphics and memos on topics of broad interest to the firm (e.g., trade or the affordable care act).
MEASURING THE VALUE OF GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS IN AN ERA OF HEIGHTENED INTEREST IN POLITICS AND POLICY

Despite heightened interest in politics and policy, many policy professionals report they continue to have trouble measuring the return-on-investment (ROI) for their work (Figure 11). Paradoxically, all the time policy professionals spend delivering value to their organizations by advising internal partners on policy issues may detract from the time they have to engage in activities traditionally used to value their work, like booking meetings on the Hill. Even those organizations with the resources to cover internal and external demands on their time reported that showing value remains elusive in an era of legislative gridlock and political partisanship (Figure 12).

FIG. 11
POLICY PROFESSIONALS CONTINUE TO HAVE DIFFICULTY DEMONSTRATING THE ROI OF THEIR WORK
% of policy professionals who say each management challenge is among their top three this year
In our research, we found leading policy professional teams were eschewing metrics for valuing their work that focus on the means rather than the end (for example, tracking meetings, or lacked the precision to be credible with a senior executive audience (e.g., attributing meetings to legislative outcomes. Instead, these teams work with their Chief Financial Officers (CFOs and business stakeholders to create repeatable, reliable metrics for measuring policy issues’ impact on their companies’ and clients’ performance. They also press business leaders in their firms to attribute financial impact to issues they’d like the government affairs team to prioritize, thereby allowing government affairs teams to make informed choices about resource allocation and empowering them to measure results in dollars and cents. Finally, many teams are looking to external benchmarking partners to provide an unbiased view into their firms’ reputation in Washington on a year-to-year basis.
POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ STRUGGLE TO MANAGE INFORMATION OVERLOAD
POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ STRUGGLE TO MANAGE INFORMATION OVERLOAD

Heightened corporate interest in politics and policy means policy professionals need to keep pace with more issues than ever before, and the pace of the news cycle, rise of social media, and dispersion of information sources are making that job especially hard. More than half of policy professionals say information overload is among their top three challenges this year, and many say it is almost impossible to find time to process and synthesize information coming from numerous disparate sources (Figure 13).

"My biggest challenge isn't a lack of information, but an overflow of it. I'm unable to absorb all the information that is available to me online.”
GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS PROFESSIONAL

"MANAGING INFORMATION OVERLOAD" IS POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ #1 CHALLENGE
% of policy professionals who rate each functional challenge among their top 3 this year, top 6 responses only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managing Information Overload</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrating ROI</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with other functions</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtaining budget/resources</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with peers</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveraging data</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"SEARCHING FOR INFORMATION" IS POLICY PROFESSIONALS’ TOP CATEGORY OF TIME SPEND
% of policy professionals who say each activity is among their top 3 areas of time spend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performing Research/Searching for Information</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting with external stakeholders</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting with internal stakeholders</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring news websites</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building reports and presentations</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzing qualitative information</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with external consultants</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n= 910 POLITICO Pro clients across segments
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019

n= 890 government affairs executives
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019
Fueling the fire of information overload for policy professionals is the dispersion of policymaking. Amid partisanship at the national level, issues which would have at one time been decided by Congress are now fragmented among the local, state, and international legislatures. Data privacy is a prime example of the many fronts that policy pros need to monitor for change: The European Union (EU) has become an international standard-setter, California is taking a disruptive stance and local governments are attempting to effect changes of their own. With policy arising from so many locales, it’s impossible for policy professionals to stay ahead of every twist and turn, and many say surprise has become standard.

“Things are changing so quickly, and they play out across so many different jurisdictions. It’s almost impossible to keep up with it all.”

SVP GOVERNMENT RELATIONS, HEALTHCARE COMPANY, CLIENT ADVISORY BOARD MEMBER

With these growing demands on their attention and time, many policy professionals are turning to policy intelligence tools—like legislative and regulatory tracking and directories of government stakeholders—to work more efficiently (Figure 15). Most policy professionals say these tools are useful for helping them find and organize all policy information relevant to a policy issue in a single place. While many policy intelligence tools are becoming increasingly sophisticated, with features for building reports and creating visualizations, these features have not yet gained widespread adoption. Phone calls, emails, and memos remain the standard means of communication for most policy experts on the move (Figure 16).
Since this overload of ever-changing information shows no signs of slowing, some policy leaders are experimenting with their team structures and information management systems in an effort to keep up. For example, some leaders have restructured their teams to focus on issues rather than geographic jurisdictions, allowing their team members to become subject matter experts on a smaller set of key policy areas. Others in corporate settings have established clearly-defined decision trees to triage the policy issues that need response, helping narrow their focus to the areas that are most important to their firms’ bottom lines.

FIG. 16
POLICY PROFESSIONALS RELY PRIMARILY ON E-MAIL TO SHARE POLICY UPDATES
% of policy professionals who use each method to deliver policy updates to partners and clients
THE RISE OF DIGITAL MEDIA AS A LEVER OF INFLUENCE
Over the past five years, a confluence of interrelated trends have amplified digital media’s importance as a tool for influencing policy outcomes. Social media’s role in political communication, which has been growing steadily for over a decade, reached outsized proportions during and after the 2016 U.S. presidential campaign. During that time, the rise of grassroots movements and growth of public engagement on policy issues pushed politicians to give greater weight to their digital messaging and presence online. Companies, by then accustomed to monitoring and protecting their brands on digital platforms, found they were subject to the new risks from the public, politicians and investors calling them out on policy issues online.

Policy professionals have watched these trends closely. While communication and relationship management have always been—and remain—the policy influencers’ most important assets (Figure 17), those in the field see changes on the horizon. Nearly a quarter of policy professionals believe digital media/storytelling and data analysis will be among the top three skills important to their professional success by 2022. Similarly, many policy professionals predict large upticks in the amount of time they dedicate to monitoring social media and analyzing quantitative data (Figure 18).
Data’s role in driving influence was a particular point of interest among the policy professionals we engaged in our research. An abundance of policy-related data has become available in recent years, ranging from details of legislators’ histories and relationships to consumer opinion on public policy and trends in lobbying spending. Many of the government affairs leaders we spoke to indicated a desire to use these and other data to set strategy and direct resources more effectively. To date, however, the use of metrics and data remains in its infancy within the profession. Just like other disciplines suddenly awash in analytics, policy professionals often lack the time and resources to invest in quantitative analysis and are still working to see which data sources and tools will truly deliver value.

“I am already seeing the value of bringing new members onto my team who lack the traditional government affairs pedigree. When paired together, our government affairs experts and individuals with background in media, digital communication, and technology make a formidable team.”

HEAD OF FEDERAL AFFAIRS, CORPORATION
One place where the impact of policy data, social media and digital storytelling is already readily apparent is in policy professionals’ investment in issue advertising. With more politicians on social media and greater volatility in the policy environment, policy professionals are turning to advertising as an innovative means to capture policymaker attention and shape public opinion. Digital issue ad spending is expected to skyrocket from less than $1.5B spent during the 2016 election to more than $2.85B in 2020, and more than one-quarter of policy professionals are expecting to spend more on public and media relations in the next year (Figure 19).

Despite the rise of grassroots movements and increasing outspokenness of the public on policy issues, shaping policymaker opinion remains the top reason for organizations to invest in issue advocacy given their unique and outsized role in shaping policy outcomes (Figure 20).

“More data tools are becoming available to my team each year, but I’ve adopted a wait and see approach. We are a small operation and I’m not sure we have the bandwidth to make use of all the functionality some of these data-driven products offer.”

VP GOVERNMENT RELATIONS, CORPORATION

1 Borrell Associates 2018 Local Political Advertising Outlook report; OpenSecrets.org; Morning Consult
The leading policy professionals we spoke with were partnering with advertising and media consultants to measure the precise impact of their issues ads on policymaker opinions of their brands. This detailed brand and reputation tracking provides policy professionals a clear, articulable metric for conveying how their organizations’ investments in government affairs translate into outcomes in Washington. Using this data can in turn empower organizations to ask for more budget to invest in advertising and communications in D.C.

FIG. 20
THE PRIMARY REASON TO INVEST IN ADVOCACY ADVERTISING IS TO SHAPE POLICYMAKER OPINIONS ON ISSUES
% of policy professionals who use advocacy advertising for each reason

- **Shaping policymaker opinions on an issue**: 75%
- **Shaping public opinion on an issue**: 60%
- **Enhancing our corporate reputation in Washington**: 26%
- **Responding to social issue activism**: 21%
- **Responding to a corporate crisis**: 17%

n = 447 POLITICO Pro clients across segments
Source: Pro Benchmark Survey, May 2019
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CORPORATIONS

In May of 2019 POLITICO Pro surveyed 1,400+ policy professionals, 16% of whom identified themselves as policy professionals at corporations. Here’s what they had to say about the state of being a policy professional in 2019.

TEAM SIZE

**MEDIAN (FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES)**

- 49% Say their team size is growing
- 10% Say it is shrinking

TEAM REPORTING

To whom does your team report?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEO/Chairman/President</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counsel/Chief Legal Officer</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business unit or division VP/SVP/EVP</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEAM RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary responsibilities

- **70% DIRECT LOBBYING**
- 69% Government relations (federal/national)
- 60% Government relations (state/provincial)
- 55% Political action committees (PACs)/political contributions
- 49% Regulatory affairs
- 49% Corporate communication (external)
- 48% Public/media relations
- 48% Regulatory compliance
- 45% Charitable contributions/philanthropic activities/foundations

BUDGET

**Median Team Budget**

- $500,000
- $750,000
- $1,000,000
- $1,250,000
- $1,500,000

**Top Ranked Budget Line Items**

- 50% Contract consultants/lobbyists
- 38% Trade/business association membership fees
- 25% Policy/politics news and tools subscriptions

BUDGET SHIFTS

Shifts in organizational spend over the past two years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time employment salaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract consultants/lobbyists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public media relations tools/services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassroots advocacy tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy advertising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy/politics news and tools subscription</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade/business association membership fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Action Committee (PAC) management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 70% Say their team size is growing
- 30% Say it is shrinking

Base: Not working in government organizations or sole proprietorship (AAI=1-7)

n= 238
EXTERNAL ANALYSIS
How often do you use or supply external analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Once a day or more</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once a week, but not every day</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About once a week</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several times a month</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>About once a month</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than once a month</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASSOCIATIONS
In May of 2019 POLITICO Pro surveyed 1,400+ policy professionals, 18% of whom identified themselves as policy professionals at associations. Here’s what they had to say about the state of being a policy professional in 2019.

TEAM SIZE
7
MEDIAN (FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES)
▲ 46% Say their team size is growing
▼ 9% Say it is shrinking

EXTERNAL ANALYSIS
How often do you use or supply external analysis
Once a day or more ▶️ 24%
More than once a week, but not every day ▶️ 17%
About once a week ▶️ 6%
Several times a month ▶️ 16%
About once a month ▶️ 10%
Less than once a month ▶️ 14%
Never ▶️ 3%

ADVOCACY
FREQUENCY
3.9 TIMES A YEAR ON AVERAGE
88% Shaping policymaker opinions
61% Shaping public opinion

ADVERTISING
PURPOSE
57% DIRECT LOBBYING (FEDERAL)
47% Policy analysis
38% Regulatory affairs/analysis

CONSULTANTS
Use cases for contract consultants

BUDGET
Median Team Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Team Budget</th>
<th>$500,000</th>
<th>$750,000</th>
<th>$1,000,000</th>
<th>$1,250,000</th>
<th>$1,500,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Top Ranked Budget Line Items: Other than full-time employee salaries
39% Contract consultants/lobbyists
30% Policy/politics news and tools subscriptions
23% Grassroots advocacy tools

BUDGET SHIFTS
Shifts in organizational spend over the past two years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shifts in organizational spend over the past two years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-time employment salaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶️ 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract consultants/lobbyists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶️ 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public media relations tools/services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶️ 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassroots advocacy tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶️ 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶️ 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy/politics news and tools subscription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade/business association membership fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▸ 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Political Action Committee (PAC) management

EXTERIOR ANALYSIS
How often do you use or supply external analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How often do you use or supply external analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shaping policymaker opinions ▶️ 88%
Shaping public opinion ▶️ 61%
Policy analysis ▶️ 47%
Regulatory affairs/analysis ▶️ 38%
NON PROFITS/THINK TANKS

In May of 2019 POLITICO Pro surveyed 1,400+ policy professionals, 20% of whom identified themselves as policy professionals at non-profits or think tanks. Here’s what they had to say about the state of being a policy professional in 2019.

TEAM SIZE

8

MEDIAN (FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES)

↑ 45% Say their team size is growing

↓ 10% Say it is shrinking

TEAM REPORTING

To whom does your team report?

53% CEO/Chairman/President

14% Other

12% Business unit or division VP/SVP/EVP

TEAM RESPONSIBILITIES

Primary responsibilities

60% GOVERNMENT RELATIONS (FEDERAL/NATIONAL)

45% Direct lobbying

39% Government relations (state/provincial)

58% Public/media relations

45% Grassroots advocacy

44% Corporate communications: external

BUDGET

Median Team Budget

$500,000 $750,000 $1,000,000 $1,250,000 $1,500,000

Top Ranked Budget Line Items

Other than full-time employee salaries

31% Policy/politics news and tools subscriptions

25% Contract consultants/lobbyists

22% Grassroots advocacy tools

BUDGET SHIFTS

Shifts in organizational spend over the past two years

Full-time employment salaries

CEO/Chairman/President

Other

Business unit or division VP/SVP/EVP

53%

14%

12%

Direct lobbying

Government relations (state/provincial)

Public/media relations

Grassroots advocacy

Corporate communications: external

Advocacy advertising

Policy/politics news and tools subscription

Trade/business association membership fees

Political Action Committee (PAC) management

Base: Not working in government organizations or sole proprietorship (AA1=1-7)

n=230
04 FUNCTIONAL BENCHMARKS

EXTERNAL ANALYSIS
How often do you use or supply external analysis

- Once a day or more: 24% Use, 6% Supply
- More than once a week, but not every day: 28% Use, 20% Supply
- About once a week: 14% Use, 14% Supply
- Several times a month: 35% Use, 15% Supply
- About once a month: 15% Use, 13% Supply
- Less than once a month: 16% Use, 13% Supply
- Never: 4% Use, 7% Supply

Base: Not working in government organizations or sole proprietorship (AA1=1-7)
N=188

ADVOCACY ADVERTISING
Frequency and purpose of ads

- 3.6 TIMES A YEAR ON AVERAGE
- 73% Shaping policymaker opinions
- 66% Shaping public opinion

CONSULTANTS
Contract consultant use cases

- 43% POLICY ANALYSIS
- 42% Direct lobbying (federal)
- 34% Strategic planning and advisory services
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
In May of 2019 POLITICO Pro surveyed 1,400+ policy professionals, 27% of whom identified themselves as policy professionals at professional services organizations. Here’s what they had to say about the state of being a policy professional in 2019.

TEAM SIZE
12 MEDIAN (FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES)

▲ 52% Say their team size is growing
▼ 7% Say it is shrinking

EXTERNAL ANALYSIS
How often do you use or supply external analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>